arXiv:1608.02553v1 [physics.chem-ph] 8 Aug 2016

# Exact Exchange-Correlation Functional for the Infinitely Stretched Hydrogen Molecule

E. Matito†, D. Casanova†, X. Lopez and J. M. Ugalde

Kimika Fakultatea, Euskal Herriko Unibertsitatea (UPV/EHU) and Donostia International Physics Center (DIPC), P.K. 1072, 20080 Donostia, Euskadi (Spain), and †IKERBASQUE Basque Foundation for Science, 48011 Bilbao, Euskadi (Spain).

**Abstract**

The exchange-correlation hole density of the infinitely stretched (dissociated) hydrogen molecule can be cast into a closed analytical form by using its exact wave function. This permits to obtain an explicit exchange-correlation energy functional of the electron density which allows for its functional derivation to yield the corresponding Kohh-Sham effective exchange-correlation potential. We have shown that this exchange-correlation functional is exact for the dissociated hydrogen molecule, yields its dissociation energy correctly, and its corresponding exchange-correlation potential has the correct $-1/r$ asymptotic behavior.

## 1 Introduction

The electron-pair density of ab initio quantum chemistry, $\Gamma_2$, *i.e.:* the diagonal element of the second-order density reduced matrix [1, 2], accounts for the probability $\Gamma_2(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}')d\mathbf{r}d\mathbf{r}'$ of having one electron being in the volume $d\mathbf{r}$ around $\mathbf{r}$ and another electron in the volume $d\mathbf{r}'$ around $\mathbf{r}'$, irrespective of the positions of the remaining electrons. If the electrons were independent [3], clearly, $\Gamma_2(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}') = \rho(\mathbf{r})\rho(\mathbf{r}')$, being $\rho$ the electron density that accounts for the probability, $\rho(\mathbf{r})d\mathbf{r}$, of having one electron in the volume $d\mathbf{r}$ around $\mathbf{r}$. However, for correlated electrons, an *exchange-correlation* contribution, which takes into account all kinds of *correlations* between the electrons, must be added to the uncorrelated case's expression.

In density functional theory (DFT) one customarily chooses such term as $\rho(\mathbf{r})\int_0^1 \rho_{xc}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}';\lambda)\,d\lambda$ to obtain the following expression for the electron-pair density of the correlated DFT case:

$$\bar{\Gamma}_2(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}') = \frac{1}{2}\rho(\mathbf{r})\left[\rho(\mathbf{r}') + \int_0^1 \rho_{xc}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}';\lambda)\,d\lambda\right]. \quad (1)$$

Recall that $\bar{\Gamma}_2$ of Eq. (1) includes, additionally to the ab initio quantum chemistry $\Gamma_2$, the kinetic correlation energy by the coupling parameter $\lambda$ that gauges the electron-electron repulsion operator, $\lambda/\left|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}'\right|$, from $\lambda = 0$, the non-interacting reference Kohn-Sham system, to $\lambda = 1$, the real system, as dictated by the adiabatic connection [4]. This accounts for the kinetic correlation energy that results from the fact that in DFT, the total energy is expressed in terms of the kinetic energy, $T_s$, of the reference Kohn-Sham system as:

$$E = T_s + \int \rho(\mathbf{r}) v(\mathbf{r})\, d\mathbf{r} + \bar{E}_{ee} \tag{2}$$

Then, the kinetic correlation energy is $T - T_s$, being $T$ the kinetic energy of the real system.

Since the total DFT electron-electron interaction energy can be cast as,

$$\bar{E}_{ee} = \int d\mathbf{r} d\mathbf{r}' \frac{\bar{\Gamma}_2(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}')}{\left|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}'\right|} \tag{3}$$

substituting Eq. (1) in Eq. (3) one obtains the DFT electron-electron interaction energy expressed in terms of its customary $J$ and $E_{xc}$ components:

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{E}_{ee} &= J\left[\rho\right] + E_{xc}\left[\rho\right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\int d\mathbf{r} d\mathbf{r}' \frac{\rho(\mathbf{r})\rho(\mathbf{r}')}{\left|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}'\right|} + \frac{1}{2}\int d\mathbf{r} d\mathbf{r}' \frac{\rho(\mathbf{r}) \int_0^1 \rho_{xc}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}';\lambda)\, d\lambda}{\left|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}'\right|} \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

Recall at this point that Hohenberg and Kohn demonstrated in their *Density Theory Functional* (DFT) foundational paper [5], that all properties of interacting electrons systems are completely determined by their corresponding ground state electron density, $\rho(\mathbf{r})$. This includes the energy of the ground state, the energies of the excited states, response properties, etc. Therefore, the so-called exchange-correlation hole, $\rho_{xc}$, itself must also be a functional of the ground state electron density in accordance with Eq. (3), although its exact form has been proved difficult to find out.

## 2 The exchange-correlation hole density for the infinitely stretched $H_2$

We will illustrate one practical realization of Eq. (1) by estimating the exchange-correlation hole density for the infinitely stretched hydrogen molecule. Notice that the kinetic correlation energy, $T - T_s$, is zero for this case. Infinitely stretched, dissociated, $H_2$ and one-electron systems like the hydrogen atom constitute the very few systems for which the kinetic correlation energy vanishes [6] and, consequently, for these cases $\Gamma_2 = \bar{\Gamma}_2$.

This permits to skip the averaging over the the $\lambda$ parameter of Eq. (1) and, subsequently, gives direct access to the electron-electron interaction part of the

exchange-correlation energy of the left hand side of Eq. (4), namely:

$$E_{xc}\left[\rho\right] = \frac{1}{2}\int d\mathbf{r}d\mathbf{r}'\frac{\rho(\mathbf{r})\rho_{xc}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}')}{|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}'|} \tag{5}$$

Naturally, the two electrons of the infinitely stretched $H_2$ do interact with each other through the exchange-correlation interaction as stems from the inspection of its exact wave function, which was given by Heitler and London [7] in their landmark paper on the nature of the chemical bond. Namely, denoting by $\psi_{A(B)}(\mathbf{r})$ the orbital centered on nucleus $A(B)$, the Heitler and London ansatz is,

$$\Psi_{HL}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{x}') = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left[\psi_A(\mathbf{r})\psi_B(\mathbf{r}') + \psi_A(\mathbf{r}')\psi_B(\mathbf{r})\right]\Theta(s,s') \tag{6}$$

where $\mathbf{x} = (\mathbf{r}, s)$ is the composite spatial plus spin coordinate of the electrons and $\Theta(s,s')$ is the normalized singlet spin wave function,

$$\Theta(s,s') = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left[\alpha(s)\beta(s') - \alpha(s')\beta(s)\right] \tag{7}$$

The exact wave function, Eq. (6), allows the calculation of all the necessary ingredients of Eq. (1) in order to obtain $\rho_{xc}$ directly. Thus, the electron-pair density is simply the square of the wave function itself,

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{\Gamma}_2(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}') &= \frac{2(2-1)}{2}\left|\Psi_{HL}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}')\right|^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\left[\psi_A(\mathbf{r})\psi_B(\mathbf{r}') + \psi_A(\mathbf{r}')\psi_B(\mathbf{r})\right]^2\end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

and the electron density is also straightforward:

$$\begin{aligned}\rho(\mathbf{r}) &= 2\int\left|\Psi_{HL}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}')\right|^2 d\mathbf{r}' \\ &= \psi_A^2(\mathbf{r}) + \psi_B^2(\mathbf{r})\end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

Hence substituting Eqns. (8) and (9) into Eq. (1) ones obtains

$$\rho_{xc}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}') = -\frac{\psi_A^2(\mathbf{r})\psi_A^2(\mathbf{r}') + \psi_B^2(\mathbf{r})\psi_B^2(\mathbf{r}')}{\rho(\mathbf{r})} \tag{10}$$

which gives the spherically averaged exchange-correlation hole density as,

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\rho}_{xc}(\mathbf{r},u) &= \frac{1}{4\pi}\int d\Omega_{\mathbf{u}}\,\rho_{xc}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}+\mathbf{u}) \\ &= -\frac{\psi_A^2(\mathbf{r})\int d\Omega_{\mathbf{u}}\psi_A^2(\mathbf{r}+\mathbf{u}) + \psi_B^2(\mathbf{r})\int d\Omega_{\mathbf{u}}\psi_B^2(\mathbf{r}+\mathbf{u})}{4\pi\,\rho(\mathbf{r})}\end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

where $d\Omega_{\mathbf{u}}$ stands for the solid angle subtended by the interelectronic vector $\mathbf{u}$.

The solution of Eq. (11) requires the evaluation of the following integral

$$\begin{aligned}\int d\Omega_{\mathbf{u}}\psi^2_{A,B}(\mathbf{r}+\mathbf{u}) &= 2\pi\int_{-1}^{1}d\mu\frac{1}{\pi}e^{-2|\mathbf{r}+\mathbf{u}\pm\mathbf{R}/2|}\\ &= \frac{e^{-2||\mathbf{r}\pm\mathbf{R}/2|-u|}\left(1+2\left||\mathbf{r}\pm\mathbf{R}/2|-u\right|\right)}{2\left|\mathbf{r}\pm\mathbf{R}/2\right|u}\\ &- \frac{e^{-2||\mathbf{r}\pm\mathbf{R}/2|+u|}\left(1+2\left||\mathbf{r}\pm\mathbf{R}/2|+u\right|\right)}{2\left|\mathbf{r}\pm\mathbf{R}/2\right|u}\end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

where $\mu=\cos\theta$, being $\theta$ the angle subtended by the vectors $\mathbf{u}$ and $(\mathbf{r}\pm\mathbf{R}/2)$, and the orbitals are: $\psi_{A,B}(\mathbf{r})=\exp\left(-\left|\mathbf{r}\pm\mathbf{R}/2\right|\right)/\sqrt{\pi}$. Hence, the plus sign of the right hand side of Eq. (12) corresponds to orbital $\psi_A$, centered at $-\mathbf{R}/2$, and the minus sign to orbital $\psi_B$, centered at $+\mathbf{R}/2$. Finally by using Eq. (12) in Eq. (11) and bearing in mind that $\psi_A$ and $\psi_B$ do not overlap, the exchange-correlation hole density can be cast as,

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\rho}_{xc}(\mathbf{r},u) &= \frac{1}{8\pi\left|\mathbf{r}+\frac{\mathbf{R}}{2}\right|u}\left[\left(1+2\left|u+\left|\mathbf{r}+\frac{\mathbf{R}}{2}\right|\right|\right)e^{-2|u+|\mathbf{r}+\frac{\mathbf{R}}{2}||}\right.\\ &- \left.\left(1+2\left|u-\left|\mathbf{r}+\frac{\mathbf{R}}{2}\right|\right|\right)e^{-2|u-|\mathbf{r}+\frac{\mathbf{R}}{2}||}\right]\\ &+ \frac{1}{8\pi\left|\mathbf{r}-\frac{\mathbf{R}}{2}\right|u}\left[\left(1+2\left|u+\left|\mathbf{r}-\frac{\mathbf{R}}{2}\right|\right|\right)e^{-2|u+|\mathbf{r}-\frac{\mathbf{R}}{2}||}\right.\\ &- \left.\left(1+2\left|u-\left|\mathbf{r}-\frac{\mathbf{R}}{2}\right|\right|\right)e^{-2|u-|\mathbf{r}-\frac{\mathbf{R}}{2}||}\right]\end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

One important property of the exchange-correlation hole density is the sum rule, namely,

$$4\pi\int_0^\infty du\,u^2\tilde{\rho}_{xc}(\mathbf{r},u)=-1,\ \ \forall\mathbf{r} \tag{14}$$

Integration of Eq. (13) confirms that our exchange-correlation hole density meets the requirement imposed by Eq. (14).

Additionaly, also from Eq. (13) one can obtain the system averaged exchange-correlation hole density as

$$\int d\mathbf{r}\rho(\mathbf{r})\tilde{\rho}_{xc}(\mathbf{r},u)=-\frac{e^{-2u}}{12\pi}\left(4u^2+6u+3\right) \tag{15}$$

and, consequently, the exchange-correlation energy, recall Eq. (4), turns out to be:

$$E_{xc}\left[\rho\right]=2\pi\int_0^\infty du\,u\int d\mathbf{r}\rho(\mathbf{r})\tilde{\rho}_{xc}(\mathbf{r},u)=-\frac{5}{8} \tag{16}$$

which exactly cancels out the Coulomb self-energy $J\left[\rho\right]$, namely,

$$\begin{aligned}J\left[\rho\right] &= \frac{1}{2\pi^4}\int d\mathbf{r}d\mathbf{r}'d\mathbf{k}\frac{1}{k^2}e^{-2(r+r')-i\mathbf{k}\cdot(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}')}\\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi^4}\int d\mathbf{k}\frac{1}{k^2}\frac{2^8\pi^2}{(4+k^2)^4}=\frac{5}{8}\end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

which has been evaluated as indicated in Eq. (4) by using the Fourier transform of electron-electron repulsion operator, namely:

$$\frac{1}{|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}'|} = \frac{1}{2\pi^2}\int d\mathbf{k} e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}')}$$

This correctly renders the total energy of the dissociated hydrogen molecule as the sum of the energies of two hydrogen atoms, $E(\mathrm{R}\rightarrow\infty) = 2\,E_{\mathrm{H}}$, where $E_{\mathrm{H}}$ stands for the energy of a hydrogen atom. The exchange-correlation hole density of Eq. (13), therefore, yields the correct dissociation limit of $H_2$, a difficult requirement for density functional theory [8].

The spherically averaged exchange-correlation hole density of Eq. (13) is




Figure 1: Spherically averaged exchange-correlation hole for the hydrogen molecule $H_2$, as a function of the distance of the reference electron with respect to the right-hand nucleus, $\left|\mathbf{r}+\frac{\mathbf{R}}{2}\right| \in 0-2$ a.u. and the interelectronic distance, $u \in 0-5$ a.u.

plotted in Figure 1 as a function of the distance of the reference electron to the right-hand nucleus, $|\mathbf{r}+\mathbf{R}/2|$ and the interelectronic distance $u$. Recall that the shape of $\tilde{\rho}_{xc}(\mathbf{r},u)$ is completely symmetric when plotted as a function of distance between the reference electron and the left-hand nucleus, namely, $|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{R}/2|$.

It is worth noticing at this point the close relationship existing between the exchange-correlation density and the electron-pair density as suggested by Eq. (1), which puts forward the very important supporting hidden role played by

electron-pair densities in DFT towards a rational and physically sound approach to exchange-correlation hole densities. This point has been recently illustrated very elegantly by Maitra and Burke[9] by showing how the elementary properties of the electron-pair density suffice to determine approximate, but reliable, exchange-correlation functionals.

# 3 The Kohn-Sham effective exchange-correlation potential for the infinitely stretched $H_2$.

One can swap the order of integration of the variables ($\mathbf{r}$, $u$) in Eq. (16) to cast the exchange-correlation energy as an explicit functional of the electron density $\rho$. Thus, when in Eq. (16) $u$ is integrated out first one obtains:

$$\begin{aligned} E_{xc}[\rho] &= \int d\mathbf{r} \frac{e^{-2|\mathbf{r}+\mathbf{R}/2|}}{2\pi\,|\mathbf{r}+\mathbf{R}/2|}\left[(1+|\mathbf{r}+\mathbf{R}/2|)\,e^{-2|\mathbf{r}+\mathbf{R}/2|}-1\right] \\ &+ \int d\mathbf{r} \frac{e^{-2|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{R}/2|}}{2\pi\,|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{R}/2|}\left[(1+|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{R}/2|)\,e^{-2|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{R}/2|}-1\right] \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

which can be expressed as an explicit electron density functional by virtue of

$$e^{-2|\mathbf{r}\pm\mathbf{R}/2|} = \frac{\rho_{A,B}(\mathbf{r})}{\rho_{A,B}(0)} \tag{19}$$

where $\rho_{A,B}(0)$ means that the density has been evaluated on-top of the corresponding nucleus. Recall that since the ground state electron density of any atom is a monotically decreasing function of $\mathbf{r}$ [10, 11], then $0 \leq \rho_{A,B}(\mathbf{r})/\rho_{A,B}(0) \leq 1, \forall \mathbf{r}$, and

$$-\frac{1}{2}\ln\frac{\rho_{A,B}(\mathbf{r})}{\rho_{A,B}(0)} = |\mathbf{r}\pm\mathbf{R}/2| \tag{20}$$

will be a positively defined quantity, as it corresponds to the modulus of a vector, for all values of $\mathbf{r}$. Consequently, denoting the reduced electron density $\rho_{A,B}(\mathbf{r})/\rho_{A,B}(0)$ by $\tilde{\rho}_{A,B}(\mathbf{r})$, the exchange-correlation energy functional can be cast as:

$$\begin{aligned} E_{xc}[\rho] = &- \int d\mathbf{r} \frac{\tilde{\rho}_A(\mathbf{r})}{\pi\ln\tilde{\rho}_A(\mathbf{r})}\left[\left(1-\frac{1}{2}\ln\tilde{\rho}_A(\mathbf{r})\right)\tilde{\rho}_A(\mathbf{r})-1\right] \\ &- \int d\mathbf{r} \frac{\tilde{\rho}_B(\mathbf{r})}{\pi\ln\tilde{\rho}_B(\mathbf{r})}\left[\left(1-\frac{1}{2}\ln\tilde{\rho}_B(\mathbf{r})\right)\tilde{\rho}_B(\mathbf{r})-1\right] \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

which after functional derivation with respect to the electron density yields the Kohn-Sham effective exchange-correlation potential for the infinitely stretched hydrogen molecule as:

$$\begin{aligned} v_{xc}(\mathbf{r}) &= \frac{1}{\pi\rho_A(0)}\left[\tilde{\rho}_A(\mathbf{r})+\frac{1-2\tilde{\rho}_A(\mathbf{r})}{\ln\tilde{\rho}_A(\mathbf{r})}-\frac{1-\tilde{\rho}_A(\mathbf{r})}{(\ln\tilde{\rho}_A(\mathbf{r}))^2}\right] \\ &+ \frac{1}{\pi\rho_B(0)}\left[\tilde{\rho}_B(\mathbf{r})+\frac{1-2\tilde{\rho}_B(\mathbf{r})}{\ln\tilde{\rho}_B(\mathbf{r})}-\frac{1-\tilde{\rho}_B(\mathbf{r})}{(\ln\tilde{\rho}_B(\mathbf{r}))^2}\right] \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

It is worth emphasizing that this derivation requires zero overlap between the $\rho_A$ and $\rho_B$ electron densities.

Finally, since the asymptotic behavior of the electron density is [12]

$$\rho(\mathbf{r}) \overset{r\to\infty}{\longrightarrow} e^{-\sqrt{8I_o}r} \tag{23}$$

the asymptotic behavior of $v_{xc}(\mathbf{r})$ obtained from Eq. (22) can be cast as:

$$v_{xc}(\mathbf{r}) \overset{r\to\infty}{\longrightarrow} -\left(\frac{1}{\pi\rho_A(0)}\frac{1}{\sqrt{8I_o^A}} + \frac{1}{\pi\rho_B(0)}\frac{1}{\sqrt{8I_o^B}}\right)\frac{1}{r} + \mathcal{O}\left(r^{-2}\right) \tag{24}$$

where $I_o^{A,B}$ stands for the first ionization energy of atom A or B, respectively. Notice that for the hydrogen atom, $\rho(0) = 1/\pi$ and $I_o = 1/2$. Thus, for the infinitely stretched hydrogen molecule:

$$v_{xc}(\mathbf{r}) \overset{r\to\infty}{\longrightarrow} -\frac{1}{r} \tag{25}$$

as it must be for any finite system [13, 14, 15].

# 4 Summary

We have derived an explicit exchange-correlation energy functional of the electron density for the stretched hydrogen molecule, which fulfills the sum rule and cancels out exactly the Coulomb self-energy term yielding, consequently, the correct dissociation limit energy. Furthermore, by functional derivation of the exchange-correlation energy functional we have obtained its corresponding Kohn-Sham effective exchange-correlation potential which has the correct $-1/r$ asymptotic behavior for $r \to \infty$.

# Ackonwledgments

We thank Professor Andreas Savin for helpful discussions. Financial support comes from Eusko Jaurlaritza (Basque Government) and the Spanish Office for Scientific Research (MINECO CTQ2014-52525-P). The SGI/IZO–SGIker UPV/EHU (supported by the National Program for the Promotion of Human Resources within the National Plan of Scientific Research, Development and Innovation – Fondo Social Europeo and MCyT) is greatfully acknowledged for generous allocation of computational resources. EM and DC are supported by Ikerbasque.

# References

[1] P.-O. Löwdin. Quantum Theory of Many-Particle Systems. I. Physical Interpretations by Means of Density Matrices, Natural Spin-Orbitals, and Convergence Problems in the Method of Configurational Interaction. *Phys. Rev.*, 97:1474–1489, 1955.

[2] P. -O. Löwdin. Exchange, Correlation, and Spin Effects in Molecular and Solid-State Theory. *Rev. Mod. Phys.*, 34(1):80–87, 1962.

[3] R. McWeeny. Some recent advances in density matrix theory. *Rev. Mod. Phys.*, 32(2):335–369, 1960.

[4] A. Savin, F. Colonna, and R. Pollet. Adiabatic connection approach to density functional theory of electronic systems. *Int. J. Quantum Chem.*, 93:166–190, 2003. See also references therein.

[5] P. Hohenberg and W. Kohn. Inhomogeneous electron gas. *Phys. Rev. B*, 136(3):864–B871, 1964.

[6] O. V. Gritsenko, R. Van Leeuwen, and E. J. Baerends. On the optimal mixing of the exchange energy and the electron-electron interaction part of the exchange-correlation energy. *Int. J. Quantum Chem.*, 60(7):1375–1384, 1996.

[7] W. Heitler and F. London. Wechselwirkung neutraler Atome und homöopolare Bindung nach der Quantenmechanik. *Z. Phys.*, 44:455–472, 1927.

[8] E. J. Baerends. Exact Exchange-Correlation Treatment of Dissociated $H_2$ in Density Functional Theory. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 87(13):133004, 2001.

[9] N. T. Maitra and K. Burke. The pair density in approximate density functionals: The hidden agent. In J. Cioslowski, editor, *Many-Electron Densities and Reduced Density Matrices*, pages 183–208. Kluwer Academic/Plenum Publishers, New York, USA, 2000.

[10] H. Weinstein, P. Politzer, and S. Srebrenik. A missconception concerning the electronic density distribution of an atom. *Theor. Chim. Acta*, 38(2):159–163, 1975.

[11] P. W. Ayers and R. G. Parr. Sufficient condition for monotonic electron density decay in many-electron systems. *Int. J. Quantum Chem.*, 95(6):877–881, 2003.

[12] M. Hoffmann-Ostenhof and T. Hoffmann-Ostenhof. "Schrödinger inequalities" and asympotic behavior of the electron density of atoms and molecules. *Phys. Rev. A.*, 16:1782–1785, 1977.

[13] R. van Leeuwen and E. J. Baerends. Exchange-correlation potential with correct asymptotic behavior. *Phys. Rev. A.*, 49:2421–2431, 1994.

[14] W. Koch and M. C. Holthausen. *A Chemist's Guide to Density Functional Theory*. Wiley-VCH, New York, USA, 2000.

[15] C. A. Ullrich. *Time-Dependent Density-Functional Theory*. Oxford University Press Inc., New York, USA, 2012.